

## Countable approximation of topological $G$ -manifolds, II: linear Lie groups $G$

Qayum Khan

*Department of Mathematics, Indiana University  
Bloomington IN 47405, USA  
qkhan@indiana.edu*

Received 21 June 2018

Revised 12 June 2019

Accepted 24 February 2020

Published 20 July 2020

Let  $G$  be a matrix group. Topological  $G$ -manifolds with Palais-proper action have the  $G$ -homotopy type of countable  $G$ -CW complexes (3.2). This generalizes Elfving's dissertation theorem for locally linear  $G$ -manifolds (1996). Also, we improve the Bredon–Floyd theorem from compact Lie groups  $G$  to arbitrary Lie groups  $G$ .

*Keywords:* Topological manifold; proper action; Lie group; CW complex.

AMS Subject Classification: 57N65, 57S20, 54H15

### 1. Equivariant Cohomology Manifolds

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $G$  be a topological group. Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space, that is, a topological space equipped with a left  $G$ -action. For any  $x \in X$ , its *orbit type* ( $G_x$ ) is the  $G$ -conjugacy class of its *isotropy group*  $G_x := \{g \in G \mid gx = x\} \leq G$ . The  $G$ -space  $X$  is  $G$ -metrizable if it has a  $G$ -invariant metric:  $d(gx, gy) = d(x, y)$ . The group  $G$  is *Lie* if  $G$  is a real-analytic manifold with  $(a, b) \mapsto a^{-1}b$  analytic.

Proper in the sense of Bourbaki is [33, I:3.17] and of Palais is [30, 1.2.2].

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $G$  be a topological group. Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space. Define a map

$$\theta : G \times X \rightarrow X \times X; \quad (g, x) \rightarrow (x, gx).$$

The  $G$ -space  $X$  is *Bourbaki-proper* if  $\theta$  is proper in the sense of Bourbaki: the product  $\theta \times \text{id}_Z$  is a closed function for any topological space  $Z$  [11, 10:1.1].

Now, suppose  $G$  is locally compact and  $X$  is completely regular, both without any assumption of Hausdorff. The  $G$ -space  $X$  is *Palais(-proper)* if each point  $x \in X$

has a neighborhood  $U$  such that any  $y \in X$  has a neighborhood  $V$  with

$$\langle U, V \rangle_G := \{g \in G \mid U \cap gV \neq \emptyset\}$$

precompact [30, 1.2.2]. More generally,  $X$  is *Cartan(-proper)* if each point  $x \in X$  has a neighborhood  $U$  such that  $\langle U, U \rangle_G \subset G$  is precompact [30, 1.1.2].

We recall  $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology manifold [10, I:3.3], without separable or metrizable. Our description uses Čech cohomology for Alexander–Spanier cohomology [14].

**Definition 1.3.** (Borel) Let  $M$  be a locally compact, Hausdorff topological space. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $M$  is an  $n$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology manifold ( $n$ -cm $_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ) if:

- (1)  $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \leq n$ , that is,  $\check{H}^n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \check{H}^n(A; \mathbb{Z})$  is onto for all closed  $A \subset M$
- (2)  $\forall x \in M$ : local Betti numbers  $\beta_{\mathbb{Z}}^i(M, x) = 0 \forall i < n$  and  $\beta_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(M, x) = 1$  in the sense of Borel [10, I:2.1] extending Aleksandrov (1935) and Čech (1934)
- (3)  $\forall x \in M$ : a local  $\mathbb{Z}$ -orientation of  $M$  at  $x$  exists in the sense of [10, I:3.2].

We generalize the Bredon–Floyd theorem [10, VII:2.2] to noncompact groups, by adapting the circle of ideas within Floyd’s initial argument for [10, VI:1.1].

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $G$  be a Lie group. Let  $M$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology manifold with Bourbaki-proper  $G$ -action. Any compact set in  $M$  has only finitely many orbit types.*

**Proof.** Assume not. Then there exists an infinite sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  in some compact subset  $K$  of  $M$  such that no two of the isotropy groups  $G_{x_i}$  are conjugate in  $G$ . Since the action is proper,  $C := \{g \in G \mid gK \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is compact [33, I:3.21]. In particular, since each  $G_{x_i}$  is a closed subset of  $C$ , each  $G_{x_i}$  is compact. Recall that the set  $\text{Cpt}(G)$  of non-empty compact subsets of a metric space  $(G, d)$  admits the Hausdorff–Pompeiu metric  $d_{\text{HP}}$ , which is compact if the ambient metric space is compact [29, 45:7]. Then the infinite sequence  $\{G_{x_i}\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  in the compact metric space  $(\text{Cpt}(C), d_{\text{HP}})$  has a convergent subsequence, which we may reindex to be the original. By continuity of multiplication and inversion in  $G$ , the compact subset  $H := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} G_{x_i}$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Thus  $H$  is a Lie group [24, 20.10].

Let  $U$  be a compact neighborhood of the neutral element in  $G$ . On the one hand, since  $H$  is a compact Lie group acting on the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology manifold  $M$ , by the Bredon–Floyd theorem [10, VII:2.2], the compact set  $UK \subset M$  supports only finitely many  $H$ -orbit types. On the other hand, by the Montgomery–Zippin neighboring-subgroups theorem [30, 4.2], there is a neighborhood  $N$  of  $H$  in  $G$  so any subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $N$  is  $U$ -conjugate to a subgroup of  $H$ . Since  $H$  is a limit, there exists  $i_0$  such that  $G_{x_i} \subset N$  for all  $i \geq i_0$ . Re-index so that  $i_0 = 0$ . Then there exists  $u_i \in U$  such that  $G_{u_i x_i} = u_i G_{x_i} u_i^{-1} \subset H$  for each  $i$ . Note  $\{u_i x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  is an infinite sequence in  $UK$  such that no two  $G_{u_i x_i}$  are  $G$ -conjugate hence not  $H$ -conjugate, contradicting that  $UK$  has only finitely many  $H$ -orbit types.  $\square$

## 2. Equivariant Absolute Neighborhood Retracts

Recall  $X$  is a  $G$ -ANR for the class  $\mathcal{C}$  ( $\mathcal{C}$ -absolute  $G$ -neighborhood retract) if  $X$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$  and, for any closed  $G$ -embedding of  $X$  into a member of  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is a  $G$ -neighborhood of  $X$  with  $G$ -retraction to  $X$ . More generally,  $X$  is a  $G$ -ANE for the class  $\mathcal{C}$  ( $\mathcal{C}$ -absolute  $G$ -neighborhood extensor) if, for any member  $B$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  and closed  $G$ -subset  $A$  of  $B$  and any  $G$ -map  $A \rightarrow X$ , there is a  $G$ -extension  $U \rightarrow X$  from some  $G$ -neighborhood  $U$  of  $A$  in  $B$ . Notice a  $G$ -ANE need not belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Not long ago, Antonyan [3, 5.7] made equivariant Hanner’s open-union theorem (see [18, III:8.3]), providing a local-to-global principle for  $G$ -extensors.

**Theorem 2.1.** (Antonyan) *Let  $G$  be a locally compact Hausdorff group. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a subclass of the class  $G\text{-}\mathcal{P}$  of paracompact Palais  $G$ -spaces with paracompact orbit space. Any union of open  $G$ -subsets that are  $G$ -ANEs for  $\mathcal{C}$  is also a  $G$ -ANE for  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

Equivariant CW structures were found over very general groups, using the nerves of locally finite coverings of neighborhoods in certain  $G$ -Banach spaces [7, 1.1]. Recall that Matumoto defined the notion of a  $G$ -CW complex [27, 1.2, 1.5].

**Theorem 2.2.** (Antonyan–Elfving) *Let  $G$  be a locally compact Hausdorff group. Suppose that  $X$  is a  $G$ -ANR for the class  $G\text{-}\mathcal{M}$  of  $G$ -metrizable Palais  $G$ -spaces. Then  $X$  has the equivariant homotopy type of a  $G$ -CW complex with Palais action.*

**Remark 2.3.** Observe that the class  $G\text{-}\mathcal{M}$  is a subclass of  $G\text{-}\mathcal{P}$ , as follows. Let  $X$  be a member of  $G\text{-}\mathcal{M}$ . Since  $X$  is  $G$ -metrizable, the orbit space  $X/G$  has an induced metric given by an infimum. Then, since both  $X$  and  $X/G$  are metrizable, by Stone’s theorem [29, 41.4], we have that both  $X$  and  $X/G$  are paracompact.

As classes, observe  $\mathcal{C} \cap G\text{-ANE}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq G\text{-ANR}(\mathcal{C})$ ; a converse is [4, 6.3].

**Theorem 2.4.** (Antonyan–Antonyan–Martín–Peinador) *Let  $G$  be a locally compact Hausdorff group. Then  $G\text{-ANR}(G\text{-}\mathcal{M}) = G\text{-}\mathcal{M} \cap G\text{-ANE}(G\text{-}\mathcal{M})$ .*

The following technical notion over compact groups was introduced in [22]. We restate from [5, 2.2] the generalization over noncompact groups.

**Definition 2.5.** (Jaworowski) Let  $G$  be a Lie group. A Palais  $G$ -space  $X$  has *finite structure* if it has only finitely many orbit types and, for each orbit type  $(H)$ , the quotient map  $X_{(H)} \rightarrow X_{(H)}/G$  is a  $G/H$ -bundle with only finitely many local trivializations. Here  $(H)$  is the conjugacy class of  $H$  in  $G$ ,  $X_{(H)} := \{x \in X \mid (G_x) = (H)\}$  is the  $(H)$ -stratum,  $G_x := \{g \in G \mid gx = x\}$  is an isotropy group.

**Remark 2.6.** Any compact Lie group is *linear*: it has an isomorphic topological embedding into  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  for some  $n$ . This is a case of the following consequence of the Peter–Weyl theorem: any compact topological group  $G$  embeds into a product of unitary groups; if  $G$  has no small subgroups this product is finite [23, 4.1].

Recall  $X^H := \{x \in X \mid \forall g \in H : gx = x\}$  denotes the  $H$ -fixed subspace of  $X$ . In the following recent theorem [5, 6.1], the Jaworowski–Lashof criterion for  $G$ -ANRs [22] is generalized from compact Lie groups  $G$  to all linear ones.

**Theorem 2.7.** (Antonyan–Antonyan–Mata-Romero–Vargas-Betancourt) *Let  $G$  be a linear Lie group. Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -metrizable Palais  $G$ -space with finite structure. Then  $X$  is a  $G$ -ANR for the class of  $G$ -metrizable Palais  $G$ -spaces, if and only if  $X^H$  is an ANR for the class of metrizable spaces for each compact subgroup  $H < G$ .*

### 3. Equivariant Topological Manifolds

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a linear Lie group. Let  $M$  be a cohomology manifold over  $\mathbb{Z}$  that is both separable and metrizable. Suppose  $M$  has Palais  $G$ -action and the fixed set  $M^H$  is ANR for the class of metrizable spaces for each compact subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ . Then  $M$  is  $G$ -homotopy equivalent to a countable proper  $G$ -CW complex.*

**Proof.** Let  $M$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology manifold. Since  $M$  is separable and locally compact, there exists an increasing infinite sequence  $\{M_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  of open sets in  $M$  whose union is  $M$  and whose closures  $\overline{M}_i$  in  $M$  are compact. By Theorem 1.4, the compact set  $\overline{M}_i$ , hence  $M_i$ , has only finitely many conjugacy classes of isotropy group. The  $G$ -saturation  $GM_i = \bigcup_{g \in G} gM_i$  is also open [33, I:3.1(i)] and has only finitely many  $G$ -orbit types. Since  $(GM_i)^H = GM_i \cap M^H$  is open in the ANR  $M^H$ , we have that  $(GM_i)^H$  is also an ANR by Hanner’s global-to-local principle [18, III:7.9].

Since  $G$  is a Lie group and  $G\overline{M}_i$  is a Palais  $G$ -space, by Palais’ slice theorem [30, 2.3.1, 2.1.2],  $G\overline{M}_i$  has a covering  $\mathcal{T}_i$  by  $G$ -tubes of varying orbit types. Furthermore, since  $(G\overline{M}_i)/G = \overline{M}_i/G$  is compact,  $\mathcal{T}_i$  can be assumed finite. The stratum  $(GM_i)_{(H)}$  of  $GM_i \subset G\overline{M}_i$  has a single orbit type, so restriction of  $\mathcal{T}_i$  to it gives a finite covering by local trivialisations of a  $G/H$ -fiber bundle with structure group  $G$ . So, the Palais  $G$ -space  $GM_i$  has finite structure. By Palais’ metrization theorem [30, 4.3.4], the separable metrizable  $M$ , hence  $GM_i$ , is  $G$ -metrizable. Since  $G$  is linear,  $GM_i$  is a  $G$ -ANR for  $G\mathcal{M}$  (2.7), hence is a  $G$ -ANE for  $G\mathcal{M}$  (2.4).

Thus, by Remark 2.3 and Theorem 2.1,  $M = \bigcup_{i \in I} GM_i$  is a  $G$ -ANE for  $G\mathcal{M}$ . Then, since  $M$  is also member of  $G\mathcal{M}$ ,  $M$  is a  $G$ -ANR for  $G\mathcal{M}$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, we conclude  $M$  has the  $G$ -homotopy type of a proper  $G$ -CW complex.

We now make some remarks on how to guarantee only countably many  $G$ -cells. The proof of Theorem 2.2 starts in [6, 5.2], with a closed  $G$ -embedding of  $X$  into a  $G$ -normed linear space  $L$  with Palais action on some  $G$ -neighborhood. Specifically, those authors take  $L = E \times N$  [6, 3.10], which is valid for any  $G$ -metrizable Palais  $G$ -space  $X$ . Since our  $X = M$  is locally compact, *alternatively use* the simpler and more classical  $G$ -Banach space  $L = C_0(X)$ , where

$$C_0(X) := \{f \in C(X) \mid \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \text{ compact } K \subset X, \forall x \in X - K : |f(x)| < \varepsilon\}$$

$$\|f\| := \sup\{|f(x)| \mid x \in X\}, \text{ which is well-defined.}$$

Indeed, Elfving in [17, Propositions 2,3] showed the existence of a Kurotowski-like  $G$ -embedding of  $X$  into  $C_0(X) - \{0\}$  on which the continuous  $G$ -action is Palais.

Since  $X$  is separable, there exists a countable dense subset  $\Delta \subset X$ . Since  $X$  is locally compact, the Alexandroff one-point compactification  $X^*$  exists. Since  $X$  is second-countable, so is  $X^*$ , hence  $X^*$  admits a metric  $d$  by the Urysohn metrization theorem [29, 34.1]. Consider the countable collection  $\Delta_d \subset C(X^*)$  defined by

$$\Delta_d := \{1\} \cup \{d(-, p) \in C(X^*) \mid p \in \Delta\}.$$

Since  $\Delta_d$  contains a nonzero constant function and separates points because  $\Delta$  is dense in  $X^*$ , by the Stone–Weierstrass theorem [32, Corollary 3, p. 174], the countable subring  $\mathbb{Q}\langle\Delta_d\rangle$ , consisting of rational polynomials in the elements of  $\Delta_d$ :

$$\mathbb{Q}\langle\Delta_d\rangle := \text{Im}(\mathbb{Q}[\Delta_d] \rightarrow C(X^*))$$

is dense in  $C(X^*)$ . Hence  $C_0(X) \subset C(X^*)$  is separable.

Then the  $G$ -neighborhood  $U$  of  $X$  in  $C_0(X) - \{0\}$ , on which the  $G$ -retraction  $U \rightarrow X$  is defined, is Lindelöf, as it is separable and metrizable. So in the proof of [7, Proposition 5.2], the rich  $G$ -normal cover  $\mathcal{U}$  with index set  $G \times \mathcal{M}$  can be assumed to have  $\mathcal{M}$  a countable set. The geometric  $G$ -nerve  $K(\mathcal{U})$  is indexed [7, p. 166] by certain finite subsets of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Thus the semisimplicial  $G$ -space  $K(\mathcal{U})$  has only countably many  $G$ -cells, according to the proof of [7, Theorem 5.3], which relies on Illman [20] and this in turn involves only countably many  $G$ -cells for a smooth  $G$ -manifold. Finally, since [7, Proposition 5.2] states that  $K(\mathcal{U})$   $G$ -dominates  $X$ , by a  $G$ -version of Mather’s trick (see second paragraph of [23, Proof 2.5]), the  $G$ -CW complex for  $X = M$  has only countably many  $G$ -cells.

For the convenience of the reader, we detail the conclusion of this last sentence. Since the  $G$ -CW complex  $K(\mathcal{U})$   $G$ -dominates  $X$ , there are  $G$ -maps  $u : X \rightarrow K(\mathcal{U})$ ,  $d : K(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow X$ , and  $G$ -homotopy  $h : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  from  $h_1 = d \circ u$  to  $h_0 = \text{id}_X$ . By  $G$ -cellular approximation, there exists a cellular  $G$ -map  $\alpha : K(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{U})$  that is  $G$ -homotopic to  $u \circ d$  [33, II:2.1]. On the one hand, the mapping torus

$$\text{Torus}(\alpha) := \frac{K(\mathcal{U}) \times [0, 1]}{(x, 1) \sim (\alpha(x), 0)}$$

is a  $G$ -CW complex [33, I:1.11]. On the other hand, it is  $G$ -homotopy equivalent to

$$\text{Torus}(u \circ d) \simeq_G \text{Torus}(d \circ u) \simeq_G \text{Torus}(\text{id}_X) = X \times S^1.$$

Thus  $X \simeq X \times \mathbb{R}$  is  $G$ -homotopy equivalent to the infinite cyclic cover of  $\text{Torus}(\alpha)$ , namely the bi-infinite mapping telescope of  $\alpha$  — a countable  $G$ -CW complex.  $\square$

Finally, we generalize [23, 2.5] from  $G$  being compact. Note that the manifold must be noncompact if  $G$  is noncompact in order for the action to be Cartan-proper.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a linear Lie group. Any topological  $G$ -manifold with Palais action has the equivariant homotopy type of a countable proper  $G$ -CW complex.*

Here, by *topological  $G$ -manifold* [23, 2.2], we mean the  $H$ -fixed subspace is a topological ( $C^0$ ) manifold for each closed subgroup  $H$  of a topological group  $G$ . Herein, a topological manifold shall be separable, metrizable, and locally euclidean.

**Proof.** Let  $M$  be a topological  $G$ -manifold with Palais action. By Hanner’s local-to-global principle [18, III:8.3], each manifold  $M^H$  is an ANR for the class of metrizable spaces. Also  $M$  is separable, metrizable, and a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology manifold. Therefore, we are done by Theorem 3.1. □

Thus more tractible are its Davis–Lück  $G$ -spectral homology groups [13, 3.7, 4.3], since we conclude countability of the  $G$ -CW complex that left-approximates.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a linear Lie group. Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a  $G$ -map between topological  $G$ -manifolds with Palais actions. Then  $f$  is a  $G$ -homotopy equivalence if and only if  $f^H : M^H \rightarrow N^H$  is a homotopy equivalence for each closed  $H$  of  $G$ .*

**Proof.** This is immediate from Corollary 3.2 and the corresponding theorem for  $G$ -CW complexes [33, II:2.7], which is proven using  $G$ -obstruction theory. □

In particular, we generalize the main result of Elfving’s thesis [16, 4.20]. The definition of *locally linear*, along with some discussion, is found in [23, 3.6, 3.7]. Note any smoothable action is locally linear, but not vice versa; see [12, VI:9.6].

**Corollary 3.4.** (Elfving) *Let  $G$  be a linear Lie group. Let  $M$  be a locally linear  $G$ -manifold with Palais action. If  $M$  has only finitely many orbit types, then  $M$  has the equivariant homotopy type of a  $G$ -CW complex.*

**Proof.** This special case now follows immediately from Corollary 3.2. □

### 4. Examples That Are Not Locally Linear

We continue the three families of uncountable examples of [23, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3]. The purpose here is to show there do exist topological  $G$ -manifolds that are not locally linear when  $G$  is a noncompact linear Lie group with torsion. (All principal bundles are trivial if  $G$  is connected torsionfree, such as  $G = \mathbb{R}$  for complete flows.)

Their common trick is that the diagonal action will become Palais [30, 1.3.3], even though it is not on the first factor, using a homogeneous space  $G/H$  with  $H$  compact for the second factor. These  $G/H$  are exactly those with transitive Palais  $G$ -action. The transitivity on the second factor guarantees the same quotient space as the first’s. Any  $C^1$  Palais action by a Lie group is  $C^\omega$  [19, 21]; ours are  $C^0$ .

Indeed, there is no contradiction to Palais’ slice theorem [30, 2.3.1, 2.1.2]. There does exist a  $G_x$ -slice for each point  $x$  of the Palais  $G$ -manifolds, but *not all the slices are euclidean*, and this is why in particular these slices are not  $G_x$ -linear.

**Example 4.1.** (Bing) Consider the double  $D := E \cup_A E$  of the non-simply connected side  $E$  in  $S^3$  of the Alexander horned sphere  $A \approx S^2$ , whose embedding is *not*

locally flat. This double has obvious involution  $r_B$  that interchanges the two pieces and leaves the horned sphere fixed pointwise. Bing showed  $D$  is homeomorphic to  $S^3$  [8]. Thus  $r_B$  minus a fixed point (so on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ) negatively answers a question of Montgomery [15, 39b], asking if the action is conjugate to an isometric one.

Consider the Lie group  $G = \text{Isom}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \rtimes_{-1} O_1$ , a closed subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$\left\langle \left( \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid t \in \mathbb{R} \right) \right\rangle.$$

Define a non-Cartan action of  $G$  on  $S^3$  by epimorphism to  $O_1 \cong \langle r_B \rangle \leq \text{Homeo}(S^3)$ . As noted above, the diagonal action of  $G$  on the product of  $S^3$  and the homogeneous space  $\mathbb{R} = G/O_1$  is Palais. Then Corollary 3.2 applies to the topological  $G$ -manifold  $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ . In the orbit space  $(S^3 \times \mathbb{R})/G = S^3/r_B = E$ , the stratum  $A$  is not locally cofibrant, so the  $C^0$  action of  $G$  on the 4-manifold  $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$  cannot be locally linear.

For each  $n \geq 3$ , Lininger [26, 9, 10] applies [9] to produce uncountably many inequivalent involutions on  $S^n$  with fixed set an  $(n - 1)$ -sphere and quotient not a manifold-with-boundary, so none is equivalent to a locally linear action. They arise from uncountably inequivalent embeddings in  $S^{n-1}$  of Cantor’s space  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ; in the form of multiparameter Antoine necklaces, the  $n = 4$  case is due to Sher [31].

**Example 4.2.** (Montgomery–Zippin) Adaptation of Bing’s 1952 idea produces an involution  $r_{MZ}$  of  $S^3$  whose fixed set is an *embedded circle*  $K$  that is not locally flat [28, §2]. In Example 4.1, replacing  $r_B$  and  $A$  with  $r_{MZ}$  and  $K$  works verbatim. Note  $r_{MZ}$  preserves orientation and was first to negatively answer the  $C^0$  version of a question of Paul A Smith [15, 36], asking if the fixed circle is unknotted.

Alford gave uncountably many inequivalent involutions fixing a wild circle [1].

Higher *codimension-two* examples are provided by Lininger. He uses rotation of the Alexander horned sphere  $A$  in 4-space to obtain a semifree  $U_1$ -action on  $S^4$  with fixed set a 2-sphere [26, 7]. More generally, using Bing’s later techniques [9], he obtains uncountably many inequivalent semifree  $U_1$ -actions on  $S^n$  whose fixed set is an  $(n - 2)$ -sphere and quotient not a manifold-with-boundary [26, 8, 10].

**Example 4.3.** (Lininger) For each  $k \geq 3$ , there are uncountably many inequivalent *free*  $U_1$ -actions on  $S^{2k-1}$  whose quotients are not  $C^0$  manifolds [25, Remark 2]. At the root of Lininger’s work are Andrews–Curtis decomposition spaces [2]: non-Euclidean quotients  $Q$  by a wild arc, any of whose product with  $\mathbb{R}$  is euclidean.

Consider the Lie group  $G = \text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \rtimes U_1$ , a closed subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$\left\langle \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid c \in \mathbb{C}, u \in U_1 \right) \right\rangle.$$

Define a non-Cartan action of  $G$  on  $S^{2k-1}$  by epimorphism to  $U_1$  then use Lininger. The diagonal action of  $G$  on the product of  $S^{2k-1}$  and homogeneous space  $\mathbb{C} = G/U_1$  is Palais, as well as free. The orbit space  $(S^{2k-1} \times \mathbb{C})/G = S^{2k-1}/U_1$  is not a topological manifold, though the projection from  $S^{2k-1} \times \mathbb{C}$  is a principal  $G$ -bundle. In particular, none in this uncountable family of free  $G$ -actions can be locally linear.



The same holds for  $G = U_1 \times G'$  with  $G'$  a linear Lie group and  $M = S^{2k-1} \times G'$ .

We end with a family of examples whose linear Lie group  $G$  is *arbitrarily large*.

**Example 4.4.** (Lininger) For each  $n > k + 1 \geq 3$ , there are uncountably many inequivalent semifree  $SO_k$ -actions on  $S^n$  whose fixed set is a wild  $(n - k - 1)$ -sphere [26, 11]. Again, the construction arises from the quotient by any wild arc [2].

The Lie group  $G = \text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{R}^k) = \mathbb{R}^k \rtimes SO_k$  is a closed subgroup of  $GL_{2k}(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$\left\langle \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}^k, r \in SO_k \right) \right\rangle.$$

Define a non-Cartan action of  $G$  on  $S^n$  by epimorphism to  $SO_k$  then use Lininger. The diagonal action of  $G$  on the product of  $S^n$  and homogeneous space  $\mathbb{R}^k = G/SO_k$  is Palais. The orbit space  $(S^n \times \mathbb{R}^k)/G = S^n/SO_k$  minus the singular set is not a manifold, so none in this uncountable family of semifree  $G$ -actions is locally linear.

## Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Christopher Connell for various basic discussions and to Ian Hambleton for positing the avoidance of handlebody structures.

## References

1. W. R. Alford, Uncountably many different involutions of  $S^3$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** (1966) 186–196.
2. J. J. Andrews and M. L. Curtis,  $n$ -space modulo an arc, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **75** (1962) 1–7.
3. S. Antonyan, Orbit spaces and unions of equivariant absolute neighborhood extensors, *Topology Appl.* **146/147** (2005) 289–315.
4. N. Antonyan, S. Antonyan and E. Martín-Peinador, Equivariant embeddings of metrizable proper  $G$ -spaces, *Topology Appl.* **163** (2014) 11–24.
5. N. Antonyan, S. Antonyan, A. Mata-Romero and E. Vargas-Betancourt, A characterization of  $G$ -ANR and  $G$ -AR spaces for proper actions of Lie groups, *Topology Appl.* **231** (2017) 292–305.
6. N. Antonyan, S. Antonyan and L. Rodríguez-Medina, Linearization of proper group actions, *Topology Appl.* **156** (2009) 1946–1956.
7. S. A. Antonyan and E. Elfving, The equivariant homotopy type of  $G$ -ANRs for proper actions of locally compact groups, in *Algebraic Topology—Old and New*, Polish Academy Sciences Institute Mathematics, Vol. 85 (Banach Center Publications, 2009) pp. 155–178.
8. R. H. Bing, A homeomorphism between the 3-sphere and the sum of two solid horned spheres, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **56** (1952) 354–362.
9. R. H. Bing, Inequivalent families of periodic homeomorphisms of  $E^3$ , *Ann. of Math. (2)* **80** (1964) 78–93.
10. A. Borel, *Seminar on Transformation Groups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Vol. 46 (Princeton Univ. Press, 1960). With contributions by G. Bredon, E. E. Floyd, D. Montgomery and R. Palais.



11. N. Bourbaki, Structures topologiques, in *Éléments de Mathématique: Topologie Générale*. Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, Vol. 1142, 3rd edn. (Paris, 1961).
12. G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 46 (Academic Press, 1972).
13. J. F. Davis and W. Lück, Spaces over a category and assembly maps in isomorphism conjectures in  $K$ - and  $L$ -theory, *K-Theory* **15** (1998) 201–252.
14. C. H. Dowker, Homology groups of relations, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **56** (1952) 84–95.
15. S. Eilenberg, On the problems of topology, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **50** (1949) 247–260.
16. E. G. J. Elfving, The  $G$ -homotopy type of proper locally linear  $G$ -manifolds, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss.* **108** (1996) 50.
17. E. G. J. Elfving, The  $G$ -homotopy type of proper locally linear  $G$ -manifolds, II, *Manuscr. Math.* **105** (2001) 235–251.
18. S.-T. Hu, *Theory of Retracts* (Wayne State University Press, 1965).
19. S. Illman, Every proper smooth action of a Lie group is equivalent to a real analytic action: A contribution to Hilbert's fifth problem, in *Prospects in Topology (Princeton, NJ, 1994)*, Annals of Mathematical Studies, Vol. 138 (Princeton Univ. Press, 1995), pp. 189–220.
20. S. Illman, Existence and uniqueness of equivariant triangulations of smooth proper  $G$ -manifolds with some applications to equivariant Whitehead torsion, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **524** (2000) 129–183.
21. S. Illman, The very-strong  $C^\infty$  topology on  $C^\infty(M, N)$  and  $K$ -equivariant maps, *Osaka J. Math.* **40** (2003) 409–428.
22. J. W. Jaworowski, An equivariant extension theorem and  $G$ -retracts with a finite structure, *Manuscr. Math.* **35** (1981) 323–329.
23. Q. Khan, Countable approximation of topological  $G$ -manifolds: compact Lie groups  $G$ , *Topology Appl.* **235** (2018) 14–21.
24. J. M. Lee, *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 218, 2nd edn. (Springer, 2013).
25. L. L. Lininger, On topological transformation groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** (1969) 191–192.
26. L. L. Lininger, Actions on  $S^n$ , *Topology* **9** (1970) 301–308.
27. T. Matumoto, On  $G$ -CW complexes and a theorem of J H C Whitehead, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **18** (1971) 363–374.
28. D. Montgomery and L. Zippin, Examples of transformation groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5** (1954) 460–465.
29. J. R. Munkres, *Topology* (Prentice Hall, 2000).
30. R. S. Palais, On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **73** (1961) 295–323.
31. R. B. Sher, Concerning wild Cantor sets in  $E^3$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **19** (1968) 1195–1200.
32. M. H. Stone, The generalized Weierstrass approximation theorem, *Math. Mag.* **21** (1948) 167–184.
33. T. tom Dieck, *Transformation Groups*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 8 (Walter de Gruyter, 1987).